Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/802,067	HUPPENTHAL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel D. Chang	2819	

All Participants:

(1) *Daniel D. Chang*.

(2) *Mr. Peter Meza*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *15 June 2006*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
67, 72

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was discussed that claim 67, lines 2 and 5, "such as" will be changed to "including" and claim 72, line 3, "can be" will be changed to "is" in order to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)